

Unlocking the Genetic Treasures of Tomato Wild Relatives: A Path to Sustainable Agriculture

Jayanth K. V.1,2*, Siddu C. B2 and Harshitha M3

^{1, 2}Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Bangalore - 560065, Karnataka, India
²ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bengaluru- 560089

³Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore - 560065

Corresponding Author

Jayanth K. V. Email: jayanth17695@gmail.com



Wild Relatives, Disease Resistance, Environmental Sustainability

How to cite this article:

Jayanth, K. V., Siddu, C. B. and Harshitha, M. 2025. Unlocking the Genetic Treasures of Tomato Wild Relatives: A Path to Sustainable Agriculture. *Vigyan Varta* 6(8): 42-45.

ABSTRACT

Tomato wild relatives hold invaluable genetic traits for disease resistance, stress tolerance, and improved yield. Exploring these untapped resources offers sustainable solutions to modern agricultural challenges. By integrating their genes into cultivated varieties, breeders can enhance crop resilience, ensuring food security and environmental sustainability in the face of climate change.

INTRODUCTION

omato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) is one of the most significant and extensively cultivated vegetable crops in tropical and subtropical regions. It belongs to the Solanaceae family and originates from the western coastal areas of South America, particularly Peru and Ecuador (Rick, 1969). The tomato was introduced to Europe in the 16th century by Spanish explorers. Today, it is

grown globally, with numerous cultivars and varieties suited to various climates and growing conditions (Smith, A. F., 2012). Although it was once classified as *Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill. due to its distinct morphology compared to other Solanum species, synteny mapping led to its reclassification as *Solanum lycopersicum* L. (Peralta *et al.*, 2006). While primarily an

August 2025 42 | P a g e

Vol. 6, Issue 8

E-ISSN: 2582-9467 Popular Article Jayanth et al. (2025)

annual, self-pollinating plant, the tomato can also exhibit perennial or semi-perennial traits environmental under certain conditions (Geisenberg and Stewart, 1986).

Centre of domestication

The western regions of South America, particularly the coastal areas of present-day Ecuador and northern Peru, are considered the center of domestication for wild tomato species (Darwin et al., 2003). These areas are rich in biodiversity and host various wild tomato species closely related to the cultivated tomato (Solanum lycopersicum). Both Mexico and the Andes are recognized as centers of and domestication diversity lycopersicum, with Mexico showcasing the greatest morphological variation in tomatoes (Rick, 1979; Jenkins, 1948).

Taxonomy and Distribution

Tomatoes possess a somatic chromosome number of 24 and a haploid number of 12 (Luckwill, 1943). In somatic tissues during mitotic metaphase, tomato chromosomes are relatively small compared to other angiosperms, measuring approximately 1.5 to 3 um in length. These chromosomes exhibit considerable variation in their morphological characteristics, including mating systems, habitat preferences, trichome densities and types, as well as levels of resistance to pests and diseases. These traits are essential for breeding programs and improving agricultural practices.

In addition to the cultivated tomato (S. lycopersicum), there are 17 recognized wild including S. cheesmaniae, species, galapagense, S. chilense, S. chmielewskii, S. habrochaites, S. neorickii, S. pennellii, S. arcanum, S. corneliomulleri, S. huaylasense, S. peruvianum, S. pimpinellifolium, S. sitiens, S. juglandifolium, S. lycopersicoides, and S. ochranthum (Peralta and Spooner, 2005). These wild relatives and hybrid forms are a

rich source of genetic diversity, making them invaluable for crop improvement conservation efforts. They provide essential genetic material for developing more resilient and productive tomato varieties (Causse et al., 2016).

Table 1: Distribution and Habitat of tomato wild relatives (Luckwill, 1943; Rick, 1986; Taylor, 1986; Peralta 2008)

| Species Name | Lycopersicon equivalent | Distribution |
|--|--|--|
| Solanum arcanum Peralta | Part of <i>L</i> peruvianum (L.) Miller | Northern Peru. Coastal and inland Andean valleys, on dry rocky slopes; 100 to 2500 m. |
| Solanum cheesmaniae (L. Riley) Fosberg | Lycopersicon cheesmaniae L. Riley | Endemic to the Galápagos Islands (Ecuador);1350 m. |
| Solanum chilense (Dunal) Reiche | Lycopersicon chilense Dunal | Southern Peru to northern Chile. On western slopes of the Andes, hyper-arid rocky plains, dry river beds, and coastal deserts; 3000 m. |
| Solanum chmeilewskii (C. M. Rick, Kesicki, Fobes and M. Holle) D.M. Spooner, G.J. Anderson and R.K. Jansen | Lycopersicon chmeilewskii C.M. Rick, Kesicki, Fobes and M. Holle | Southern Peru to northern Bolivia (Sorata). In high dry Andean valleys; 2300- 3000m. |
| Solanum corneliomuelleri J.F. Macbr. (1 geographic race: Misti nr. Arequipa) | Part of Lycopersicon peruvianum (L.) Miller; also known as L. glandulosum C.F. Müll. | Central to southern Peru. On western slopes of the Andes;1000-3000 m. |
| Solanum galapagense S.C. Darwin and Peralta | Solanum galapagense S.C. Darwin and Peralta | Endemic to the Galápagos Islands, particularly the western and southern islands, mostly occurring on coastal lava and on volcanic slopes; 650 to 1,500m |
| Solanum habrochaites S. Knapp and D.M Spooner | Lycopersicon hirsutum Dunal | Central Ecuador to Central Peru. In premontane forests to dry forests on the western slopes of the Andes, occasionally in lomas formations in northern Peru; 400-3600 m. |
| Solanum huaylasense Peralta and S. Knapp | Part of Lycopersicon peruvianum (L.) Miller | Northern Peru (Department of Ancash). On the rocky slopes along rivers; 1700- 3000. |
| Solanum juglandifolium Dunal | Lycopersicon ochranthum (Dunal) J.M.H. Shaw | Northeastern Colombia to southern Ecuador; 1200-3100m. |
| Solanum lycopersicum L. | Lycopersicon esculentum Miller | Apparently native to Peru. |

August 2025 43 | Page



| Solanum | Lycopersicon | Southern Peru to northern |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| lycopersicoides | lycopersicoides | Chile on the western slopes |
| Dunal | (Dunal in DC.) | of the Andes on dry rocky |
| | A. Child ex | hillsides; 2800-3700 m. |
| | J.M.H. Shaw | |
| Solanum | Lycopersicon | Southern Ecuador to |
| neorickii D.M. | parviflorum C. | southern Peru. In dry |
| Spooner, G.J. | M. Rick. | Andean valleys, often |
| Anderson and | Kesicki, Fobes | growing over rocky banks |
| R.K. Jansen | and M. Holle | and roadsides: |
| | | 1950-3000 m. |
| Solanum | Lycopersicon | Central Colombia to |
| ochranthum | juglandifolium | southern Peru, in montane |
| Dunal | (Dunal) J.M.H. | forests and riparian sites; |
| | Shaw | 1400-3660 m |
| Solanum | Lycopersicon | Northern Peru to northern |
| pennellii Correll | pennellii (Correl | Chile, in dry rocky hillsides |
| , | l) D'Arcy | and sandy areas; 2850 m. |
| Solanum | Lycopersicon | Central Peru to northern |
| peruvianum L. | peruvianum (L.) | Chile. In lomas formations |
| _ | Miller | and occasionally in coastal |
| | | deserts; 600 m, |
| Solanum | Lycopersicon | Apparently native to coastal |
| pimpinellifolium | pimpinellifolium | areas from central Ecuador |
| L. | (L.) Miller | to southern Peru, although |
| | | populations are found in |
| | | Vallenar, Chile; 0- 500 m. |
| | | Grows in humid places and |
| | | on the edges of cultivated |
| | | fields throughout its native |
| | | range and has apparently |
| | | escaped from cultivation in |
| | | the Galápagos. |
| Solanum | Lycopersicon | Northern Chile, western |
| sitiens I.M. | sitiens (I.M. | Andean slopes on rocky |
| Johnst. | Johnst.) J.M.H. | hillsides and dry quebradas; |
| | Shaw | 2350-3500 m |

Floral biology

Tomato wild relatives exhibit three different mating systems. Some species, like S. lycopersicum, S. galapagense, S. cheesmaniae, S. pimpinellifolium, and S. neorickii, are selfpollinating (autogamous) and self-compatible, meaning they can fertilize themselves. Others, such as S. chmielewskii, are facultatively selfcompatible, allowing for self-pollination but having floral traits that promote crosspollination. Lastly, some species allogamous and self-incompatible, rejecting own pollen to encourage crosspollination. Examples include S. arcanum, S. habrochaites, and S. pennellii, though some populations show partial selfmay compatibility (Peralta and Spooner, 2005).

CONCLUSION

The wild relatives of tomatoes hold immense potential for advancing modern agriculture. Their rich genetic diversity provides crucial traits such as disease resistance, environmental adaptability, and enhanced nutritional qualities that are vital for developing stronger, more resilient tomato varieties. As global challenges like climate change and food security continue to grow, these "hidden gems" of the tomato family offer invaluable resources for breeding programs and sustainable farming practices. By tapping into the genetic wealth of these wild species, we can safeguard the future of tomato cultivation and ensure a stable food supply for generations to come.

REFERENCES:

- Rick, C. M. (1969). Controlled Introgression Of Chromosomes Of Solanum Pennellii Into Lycopersicon Esculentum: Segregation And Recombination. Genetics 62:753–768
- Smith, A. F. (2012). The Tomato In America: Early History, Culture, And Cookery. University Of South Carolina Press.
- Peralta, I. E., Knapp, S., And Spooner, D. M. (2006). Nomenclature For Wild And Cultivated Tomatoes. Feature Article. Rep Tomato Genet Coop 56:6–12.
- Geisenberg, C., And Stewart, K. (1986). "Field Crop Management", In Atherton, J.G. And J. Rudich (Eds.), *The Tomato Crop: A Scientific Basis For Improvement*, Chapman & Hall, London, Pp. 511-557.
- Darwin, S. C., Knapp, S. And Peralta, I. E. (2003). Tomatoes In The Galápagos Islands: Morphology Of Native And Introduced Species Of *Solanum* Section *Lycopersicon* (Solanaceae). *Syst. Biodiv*. 1: 29-54.
- Rick, C. M. (1979). Biosystematic Studies In Lycopersicon And Closely Related Species Of Solanum. In: Hawkes JG,

August 2025 44 | Page



- Lester RN, Skelding AD (Eds) The Biology And Taxonomy Of Solanaceae, Linn Soc Symp Ser 7. Academic, New York, Pp 667–677.
- Jenkins, J. A. (1948). The Origin Of The Cultivated Tomato. *Econ Bot* 2:379–392.
- Luckwill, L. C. (1943). The Genus Lycopersicon: An Historical, Biological, And Taxonomical Survey Of The Wild And Cultivated Tomatoes. *Aberdeen Univ Stud* 120:1–44.
- Peralta, I. E., Spooner, D. M., And Knapp, S. (2008). Taxonomy Of Wild Tomatoes

- And Their Relatives (Solanum Sect. Lycopersicoides, Sect. Juglandifolia, Sect. Lycopersicon; Solanaceae). American Society Of Plant Taxonomists. Vol. 84.
- Causse, M. J., Giovannoni, M., Bouzayen, And Zouine, M. (Editors) (2016). The Tomato Genome (Berlin, Germany: Springer). Doi:10.1007/978-3-662-53389-5
- Taylor, I. B. (1986). Biosystematics Of The Tomato. In: Atherton JG, Rudich J (Eds) The Tomato Crop: A Scientific Basis For Improvement. Chapman And Hall, London, Pp 1–34.

August 2025 45 | P a g e